

A		B		C	
	<p>Instructions To Voter Use A Pencil Or Pen</p> <p>To ensure your vote counts, completely fill in the oval to the left of the response of your choice.</p>		<p>State Measures</p>		
11			<p>50 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: DEDICATES FUNDS TO PROVIDE HEALTH CARE FOR CHILDREN, FUND TOBACCO PREVENTION, THROUGH INCREASED TOBACCO TAX.</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote dedicates funds to provide health care for children, low-income adults and medically underserved Oregonians, and fund tobacco prevention programs, through increased tobacco tax.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects proposal to dedicate funding for children's health care, other health care programs, and tobacco prevention programs; maintains tobacco tax at current level.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure increases the tobacco tax and dedicates the new revenue to providing health care for children, low-income adults and other medically underserved Oregonians, and to funding tobacco prevention and education programs. The measure increases the tax on cigarettes by 84.5 cents per pack, and increases the tax on other tobacco products. The measure will fund the Healthy Kids Program created by the 2007 legislature to provide affordable health care for uninsured children. The measure will fund tobacco prevention programs, safety net clinics, rural health care and health care for Oregon's lowest income families and individuals through the Oregon Health Plan. If the measure does not pass, these health care programs will not be expanded, and the Healthy Kids Program will not become law.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: This measure increases state revenue by an estimated \$152.7 million for the 2007-2009 budget period. Revenue is estimated to increase \$233.2 million in the following two-year period. These estimates account for a projected decline in the sale of tobacco products because of higher prices. These estimates would be reduced if further restrictions on smoking become law. The additional state revenue generated by this measure would be available to allocate to programs that provide health care for children, low-income adults and other medically vulnerable Oregonians, and to tobacco prevention programs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No </p>	<p>Lincoln County Measure</p> <p>21-119 Increases Lincoln County transient lodging tax from 6% to 9%</p> <p>Question: Shall County lodging tax be increased three cents per dollar, with revenues dedicated for use by County, Aquarium, and fairgrounds?</p> <p>Summary: Since 1991, Lincoln County has levied a 6% tax on transient lodging. It is paid by tourists, business travelers and other visitors. Currently, 1/6 of the tax revenues are dedicated to tourism promotion and economic development. This measure would increase the transient room tax by three cents per dollar, from 6% to 9%. By state law, lodging operators keep 5 percent of the tax as a collection charge. The remainder of the new tax revenues would be allocated as follows: 40 percent to a Fairgrounds Facilities Improvement Fund, 30 percent for ongoing operating support for the Oregon Coast Aquarium, and 30 percent to the County general fund. Lodging within incorporated cities would be exempt from the County lodging tax, and instead subject to city lodging tax. A "Yes" vote increases the County transient lodging tax to 9%. A "No" vote keeps it at 6%.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No </p>	
	<p>State Measures</p>				
21					
	<p>49 MODIFIES MEASURE 37; CLARIFIES RIGHT TO BUILD HOMES; LIMITS LARGE DEVELOPMENTS; PROTECTS FARMS, FORESTS, GROUNDWATER.</p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote modifies Measure 37; clarifies private landowners' rights to build homes; extends rights to surviving spouses; limits large developments; protects farmlands, forestlands, groundwater supplies.</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote leaves Measure 37 unchanged; allows claims to develop large subdivisions, commercial, industrial projects on lands now reserved for residential, farm and forest uses.</p> <p>SUMMARY: Modifies Measure 37 (2004) to give landowners with Measure 37 claims the right to build homes as compensation for land use restrictions imposed after they acquired their properties. Claimants may build up to three homes if previously allowed when they acquired their properties, four to 10 homes if they can document reductions in property values that justify additional homes, but may not build more than three homes on high-value farmlands, forestlands and groundwater-restricted lands. Allows claimants to transfer homebuilding rights upon sale or transfer of properties; extends rights to surviving spouses. Authorizes future claims based on regulations that restrict residential uses of property or farm, forest practices. Disallows claims for strip malls, mines, other commercial, industrial uses. See Explanatory Statement for more information.</p> <p>ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL IMPACT: The measure would require one-time state administrative expenditures of \$8.7 to \$12.5 million to evaluate claims received to date for adherence to measure requirements.</p> <p>In the short term, the measure would require state administrative expenditures of \$1 million to \$2 million per biennium to evaluate future claims. In the long term, state administrative costs may be reduced as the measure limits the scope of potential future claims. The amount of those potential reductions cannot be determined.</p> <p>Potential state litigation costs cannot be determined.</p> <p>The measure authorizes compensation to landowners. The amount of state expenditures to pay claims for compensation cannot be determined.</p> <p>The measure authorizes establishing a claims review fee for new claims not to exceed the actual and reasonable cost of reviewing a claim. The impact on state revenues cannot be determined.</p> <p>The measure clarifies ongoing claims review processes and is expected to reduce local government claim processing costs from current levels. The amount of these potential reductions cannot be determined.</p> <p>The measure authorizes compensation to landowners. The amount of local government expenditures to pay claims for compensation cannot be determined.</p> <p>The effect of the measure on local government revenues cannot be determined.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No </p>				
40					
41					
42					
43					
			<p>Attention!</p> <p>Remember to inspect your ballot for mistakes! If you make a mistake or damage your ballot, call your County Elections Office to ask for a replacement ballot.</p>		
			<p>WARNING</p> <p>Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting is subject to a fine. (ORS 254.470)</p>		
51					
				<p>Yachats Rural Fire Protection District</p> <p>21-117 Five year local option tax #2 for Yachats Fire District</p> <p>Question: Shall Yachats Rural Fire Protection District levy 59¢ per \$1000 assessed value for five years, beginning in 2008-2009, for operations?</p> <p>This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p>SUMMARY: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout.</p> <p>Approval of this measure would subject tax assessable property in the Yachats Rural Fire Protection District to additional property taxation for five years in the amount of 59 cents per \$1000 assessed property value (tax years 2008-2009 through 2012-2013).</p> <p>It is estimated that this measure would raise approximately \$225,016 in tax revenues for 2008-2009, \$231,766 in tax revenues for 2009-2010, \$238,719 in tax revenues for 2010-2011, \$245,881 in tax revenues for 2011-2012, and \$253,257 in tax revenues for 2012-2013.</p> <p>Revenues would be used by the Yachats Rural Fire Protection District to maintain Emergency Medical Services, fund district operations, and to fund its vehicle replacement program.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No </p>	
					Seq:0001

D	E	F
<p align="center">City of Newport</p> <p>21-118 Proposed new Charter for City of Newport.</p> <p>Question: Shall the City of Newport adopt the proposed new City Charter?</p> <p>Summary: This measure, if adopted, would adopt a new Charter for Newport. The Charter is the basic document governing how a city government functions, equivalent to a constitution. The City's current charter was adopted by the voters in 1962. Some of the provisions and some of the language in the Charter are outdated. The proposed new Charter would keep many of the same basic provisions as the existing Charter, such as the number of council members and the term of the mayor and council. Adoption of the proposed charter would allow the City to borrow funds consistent with state law rather than being limited to a specified amount. Adoption of the proposed charter would allow the City Council to adopt ordinance after a single reading. The proposed new charter uses simplified language with the intention of making the Charter more understandable. The proposed new Charter would clarify the actions to be taken by the Council in its legislative, administrative and quasi-judicial capacities. A copy of the proposed Charter is available at www.thecityofnewport.net and at City Hall.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>		
<p align="center">Beverly Beach Water District</p> <p>21-120 Beverly Beach Water District General Obligation Bond Authorization</p> <p>Question: Shall the Beverly Beach Water District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$650,000?</p> <p>If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property and property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>Summary: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout. Passage of this measure would provide funds for replacement of the water treatment plant on the existing site and in the existing building with a 100 to 120 gpm modern filtration system including an in ground 30,000 gallon clear well for disinfection. Pumps, motors, controls and all operational equipment would be replaced.</p> <p>The new plant would eliminate summer water shortages and is projected to meet the needs of the present population and an estimated 20 year population growth with a reliable water supply and continue to remain in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.</p> <p>The bonds would mature in thirty (30) years or less from date of issuance and may be issued in one or more series.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>		
D	E	F