

**MINUTES OF THE
LINCOLN COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
MEETING OF FEBRUARY 22, 2016**

Vice Chair (Acting Chair) Bobbitt called the meeting to order at 7:29pm and asked for roll call.

OTHER COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

MARK MCMAHON
DICK JOHNSON
JUDITH PELLETIER
PHIL SPULNIK
MARK ESTES
BOB PORCH

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT

SAM GALASSO

Staff Present- Planning Director Onno Husing, Senior Planner Joshua Shaklee, Associate Planner Hui Rodomsky, Office Manager Lilli Glasgow, Permit Specialist Kristine Castillo and Permit Specialist Josh Bacher.

QUORUM STATUS

With Commissioners present a Quorum has been reached for the February 22, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Vice Chair Bobbitt asked if there were any changes or additions to the draft December 14, 2015 meeting minutes. There were none.

Commissioner Pelletier motioned to approve the December 14, 2015 meeting minutes as written. Commissioner Johnson seconded the motion, and the vote was taken with all in favor. The motion is passed.

Vice Chair Bobbitt asked if there were any changes or additions to the draft December 18, 2015 meeting minutes. There were none.

Commissioner Johnson motioned to approve the December 18, 2015 meeting minutes as written. Commissioner Porch seconded the motion, and the vote was taken with Commissioners McMahon, Johnson, Pelletier, Spulnik, Porch and Estes in favor. Vice Chair Bobbitt abstained. The motion is passed.

ITEMS FROM THE AUDIENCE

There were no items from the audience.

ACTION ITEMS

There were no action items.

PUBLIC HEARING

5.1 1 Case File #10-C-PC-15: The applicant is requesting a conditional use permit to establish a new quarry for aggregate production on Tax Lots 200 and 800 on County Assessor’s Map 09-10, which are owned by Plum Creek Timberlands, L.P. The quarry, which will be developed in stages, will encompass a total of 146 acres. Activities related to the mining process will include blasting, drilling, crushing, stockpiling, and hauling. The proposed quarry will be open to commercial, public, and private customers during hours of operation.

The subject property is located on a private road approximately 2.7 road miles northeast of Hwy 229 at approximately Mile 19.

Vice Chair Bobbitt reads the applicable criteria and goes through the case file. She reads testimony procedures.

Vice Chair Bobbitt asks the Commissioners if they have any exparte contact or conflicts. There were no exparte contacts or conflicts.

Vice Chair Bobbitt asks for the Staff Report or Summary.

STAFF REPORT

Associate Planner Rodomsky introduces herself to the Planning Commission and states the proposal in front of the Commissioners tonight is Euchre Creek Quarry. This is a new quarry for aggregate production. The Applicant is Cedar Creek Quarries and their Agent is Kurt Carstens who is present tonight. The Applicants will need a conditional use permit from Lincoln County before they can apply for the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries permit to operate the new quarry.

Planner Rodomsky refers to exhibit maps of the area surrounding the proposed quarry site. Maps 9-10 and Tax Lots 200 and 800 covering 146 acres with property boundaries in the yellow, commenting how both lots are zoned TC (Timber Conservation) and explains the area proposed to be used by the quarry. The area was clear cut of timber between 2007 and 2009 based on aerial photography in county records. The properties were owned by Plum Creek until today when they were sold to Weyerhaeuser which is officially the new owner. The signed lease between the applicant and Plum Creek is now between the applicant and Weyerhaeuser.

Planner Rodomsky states the site is accessed by Hwy 229 also known as Siletz Hwy, and is near mile marker 19 where the private logging road starts in a northeast direction and takes the traveler to the quarry site.

Planner Rodomsky states surrounding land uses are commercial timber, Jack Morgan Park (approximately 9000 feet away). Planner Rodomsky refers to map and points to the nearest home site between 1.5 and 2.0 miles away. Also nearby, on Euchre Creek is tribal fishing ground.

Planner Rodomsky highlights a few criteria for consideration tonight.

- Lincoln County Code 1.1375 sub section 8 “fire safety Design Standards for roads”
- Lincoln County Code 1.1630 sub section 1 “the site under consideration is suitable for the proposed use” and paragraph B “the proposed use is compatible with existing and projected uses on surrounding lands”
- Lincoln County Code 1.1630 sub section 12 “standards for extraction and processing rocks and gravel or other earth products”

One of the factors up for consideration is whether this is the most appropriate use of the land. Agency comments have been received from ODOT (Oregon Department of Transportation), ODF (Oregon Department of Forestry), ODFW (Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife) and The Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians.

Should the Planning Commission move to approve the conditional use permit, then Vice Chair Bobbitt should direct the Applicant to prepare findings. If the Planning Commission moves to deny the application, Vice Chair Bobbitt should direct staff to prepare the findings.

A discussion ensued regarding a tree buffer between Jack Morgan Park and the proposed quarry.

A discussion ensued regarding the access road and who owns it.

Director Husing asked the Commissioners to refrain from discussing the variance application until the conditional use has been determined.

APPLICANT

AGENT: KURT CARSTENS

Introduces himself to the Planning Commissioners and states that he used to be a lawyer but now he is a land use planner. Introduces Bob Wienert as the applicant and the owner of Cedar Creek Quarries Inc. and Road & Driveway. Explains what Cedar Creek Quarry, Inc. does and what it produces. Road & Driveway takes the rock from the quarry and makes roads.

He intends to comment on staff report, in the order that it is set forth. His only complaint about the staff report is that the access road is a two lane road in some parts and its one lane in most places. The conditions Associate Planner Rodomsky has put forth are adequate, and he will talk about that later tonight.

Having represented clients at Planning Commission hearings before, he urges the Planning Commissioners to ask questions, because once hearing is closed he can no longer answer. Staff has mentioned this was a Plum Creek ownership, and as of today, the owner is Weyerhaeuser due to a merger between Weyerhaeuser & Plum Creek. Carstens talks about the various state and federal agencies that have jurisdiction over Cedar Creek Quarries Inc. and this quarry operation. He anticipates some of Commissioners’ questions are going to fall into the purview of one of those agencies. Carstens

states the applicant is not in a position to apply to those agencies for permits until the applicant has a conditional use permit first from the county regarding land use and site location.

Carstens states that Planner Rodomsky mentioned that this area has been clear cut, and that is very true. This area has a tremendous amount of exposed very hard rock. The vegetation isn't composed of large trees that house eagles and ospreys. It's got nine to ten year old trees and this massive rock.

Carstens states that Cedar Creek Quarries mines, crushes and sells, or utilizes the product under Road & Driveway. This could be for a foundation on a new house or a driveway. Or a public road. Wienert grew the business from being a modest operation to an operation with about fifty to sixty employees in the summer.

To provide some history, Wienert became involved with the company in the 1980s. To answer questions about any complaints regarding the Cedar Creek Pit itself, there has been only one about dust on the road, and it's been taken care of; and since then there has been not a single additional report. Road & Driveway has been around since the 1950s, and Wienert states he used to play baseball for them when he was in junior high. These companies are very substantial and very responsible companies, who are conscientious. Carstens presents the applicant as members of the community in good standing; they support youth sports, Grad Nights, Food Share, American Cancer Society, and they do paving projects for free when it's indicated for the public. They have been honored by a number of awards throughout the years like three times asphalt plant of the year in Oregon. Carstens states he is trying to establish citizenship and credibility.

The reason for the new quarry is that the old one is running out of product. The aggregate veins at the proposed site are not easy to find.

Bob Wienert
Owner Cedar Creek Quarries

Agent Carstens asks Mr. Wienert how easy is it to find quality rock in this county within range of where you can get at it and transport it?

Mr. Wienert states that finding the rock is a real difficult task, and there is just not a lot of rock left in Lincoln County.

Mr. Carstens states that he wishes the Planning Commission could see the site as it has rock everywhere; the site is incredibly unique and valuable. The timber company already has a road there. There isn't a lot Cedar Creek can do about the road except improve it and widen it as they begin to use it. There isn't an as-built for the existing road. An as-built for the road would entail surveyors and engineers every fifty feet. The applicant has to maintain the road and improve the road a bit.

Mr. Carstens states he has not represented Cedar Creek on any direct dealing with DOGAMI. DOGAMI is the state agency that is responsible for monitoring the operation of a quarry. Mr. Carstens states that

every time he meets with Mr. Wienert about quarries, DOGAMI comes up. DOGAMI is incredibly hands on with regard to quarry operations. Mr. Wienert gave Mr. Carstens an aerial photograph of the Cedar Creek quarry, to show the detailed depiction of a quarry operation. Cedar Creek Quarries, Inc. has a record of dealing with DOGAMI, and they will have to meet DOGAMI requirements, like managing run off and having settling ponds. They have a lot of agencies they go to for comment such as ODFW. They are working with DOGAMI and ODFW right now on another pit called Fischer Pit in the Salishan area. Applying for a new quarry is an extremely intense situation that might take a couple years to get through the permit process for the operation. ODOT has a hand in this case because the access road connects to Highway 229. There is a lot of traffic, fully loaded logging trucks, hunters, public that uses this access road. This is not a pristine out in the middle of nowhere road; road is heavily used. ODOT determined the access point on Highway 229 to be insufficient for the proposed quarry use. As the access road connects to Highway 229, there are two driveways at a Y intersection and a clump of brush in the middle. ODOT wants it to be a T intersection, and the applicant is working with ODOT to make that happen.

Another agency is the Mine Safety and Health Administration. These folks regulate mining operations safety for employees, customers and public. Those are some of the agencies involved getting a quarry up and running.

Exhibits: Mr. Carstens refers to exhibits and explains the site is in a basin, $\frac{3}{4}$ of the way around. The most important thing to note is this is not a timbered area with the recent clear-cut.

A discussion ensued as to the existing road being a logging road.

A discussion ensued regarding the buffer system and Associate Planner Rodomsky's decibel chart.

Mr. Carstens states that only one complaint was filed on Cedar Creek about dust many years ago, and that was taken care of right away. He also states that birds of any kind will not be a problem at this site as the vegetation does not support those types of birds such as: spotted owls, ospreys and eagles.

Vice Chair Bobbitt asked where the access with the turn to the mining site from the existing logging road begins.

Planning Director Husing refers to the map to explain where three major roads and fishing site come together.

Mr. Carstens states that the concerns of the Tribe are things they should be concerned about, but in regards to this project they should not be concerned at all. The timber company has left vegetation around the private, historical fishing grounds. The site is already buffered. Nothing decided tonight will change that.

A discussion ensued as to whether the fishing site was a pond or actively spring fed body of water.

Mr. Carstens states the timber company's right to access the property using the road in question, and goes over sight distance on the road, and how with some minor modifications that will improve. He states that during the fire season, ODF will have equipment to be able to handle any situation that could arise. There is a recommendation about a maintenance plan for the access road, and anything associated with that will probably be in excess of what DOGAMI wants to do. To pave the road in question would cost at least \$300,000. Overtime, this is the kind of improvement the applicant will make, but right now the road is usable. It has some pot holes and needs improvements in some areas, but if the Planning Commission trusts the applicant to do it overtime, it will happen, as they need their customers to have access to purchase the rock.

There will probably be at least three blasts between March 15 and September 15. If the applicant has to give up blasting during that time, there is no reason to be here. This is not a habitat for the types of animals ODFW is concerned about in this particular locale. The Marbled Murrelet is a different story; it doesn't matter whether they are there or not, if the habitat is there for them, it's a concern. Mr. Carstens states he doesn't believe the habitat is here, but their habitat can be up to sixty miles inland from the coast. He states that if the habitats are present, it could be a problem. A timber guy last week told him it's something they have to look out for.

A discussion ensued regarding the birds natural nesting site being old growth forests and the nearest location to that type of area.

Director Husing remarks that the reasoning for not responding from a staff perspective on the ODFW issue of timing windows because it's not the staff's area of expertise, and it will come later in the process. He states that he is trying to delineate what is the staff's responsibility on the conditional use permit and what matters will naturally come up later. Once the DOGAMI permit is applied for, if the conditional use is approved, and this will start working its way through the system, the state agencies have comment responsibilities on the DOGAMI permit. Just like the settling ponds, there are important issues that are going to be vetted by state agencies.

Clients have been sensitive to tribal issues since day one and have a State of Oregon cultural resources summary report. The report identified no cultural conflicts or difficulties. These people are mindful of their neighbors, especially the Tribe. One concern is the traversing of the road. Mr. Wienert feels it's not a concern as the letter might portray.

Wayne Gregg
Quarry Supervisor (Fischer Pit/Cedar Creek/Pankey Pit)

Mr. Gregg states he has been supervisor for 13-14 years, stated he took decibel reading from quarries. Referring to illustrations Mr. Gregg discusses decibel measurements diagram of crusher site.

A discussion ensued regarding readings and where they were taken.
A discussion ensued as to when the Tribe fishes at the historical site.

Mr. Gregg discusses Cedar Creek decibel readings for the crusher. The readings at 0.5 miles and one mile away you could not hear the crusher at all, and there were sparse trees in the flat area. He commented that he was not aware of any complaints.

A discussion ensued regarding year round crushing activities.

Brad Bennett
Timber Supply Explosives

Mr. Bennett states that he supplies quarries with blasting supplies and services. He states that he is a blaster and has been for sixteen years. Mr. Bennett explains the methodology of setting the charge for explosives. It takes several hours (two to six hours) to set up and noise can last for as little as one second.

A discussion ensued regarding the number of blasts (up to four per year) and the length of the noise being less than one second for each.

A discussion ensued regarding whether coastal birds have ever had an impact on the blasting or if they ever restricted his work. Mr. Bennett states that birds have never impacted his work.

Planning Director Husing asked Mr. Bennett if he ever had birds impact the timing and charges of his blasting. He also asked if the operation has been impacted due to birds seasonally. In both cases Mr. Bennett said he had not.

A discussion ensued regarding what type of rock and how close to homes he was while he does the blasting.

Michael Rundell
Weyerhaeuser

Mr. Rundell states that he manages all activities on timber land in the State of Oregon. That he has been working for Plum Creek for nineteen years. Mr. Carstens states that he has decided not to ask questions about this letter as he sees that it is in support of his client.

Mr. Rundell states that his GIS system identifies known cultural sites. He identifies, creates protective buffer so as to not impact them, and then go about the operations. This is an internal policy for Weyerhaeuser. He states that he would work with the Tribes in case there was anything they asked for in making additional protection.

Mr. Carstens asked Mr. Rundell if the Tribes ever asked him to do anything that he didn't do. Mr. Rundell states no, they haven't.

A discussion ensued about the fishing grounds and the tribal platform near the falls and the radius of the buffer site.

A discussion ensued about the presence of bird species and what the mining company's internal policies dictate if that becomes an issue.

A discussion ensued regarding the water quality, sedimentation, slides and sluffing and the mining company's internal policy for dealing with those issues should they arise.

Mr. Carstens states that there should be no concerns, and that in relation to tribal concerns, if you were standing up there on site you would know there are none.

Mr. Carstens states in conclusion with regard to ODFW, they may have overstated their concerns. There is some basis to some of them but those will be addressed by DOGAMI. With regards to the tribal letter, one would expect them to be concerned about the tribal lands and the water quality. But looking at the letter, there doesn't seem to be anything with regards to this applicant, there doesn't seem to be anything that suggests there is going to be anything for the Planning Commission to be concerned about.

The Planning Commissioners take a break from 9:32pm to 9:40pm.

OPPOSITION

Stan van de Wetering

Aquatic Programs Leader for the CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF SILETZ INDIANS

Mr. van de Wetering states he was contacted on January 7, 2016, by the Planning Department in regards to the comments on timing of his letter of opposition; he apologizes and explains there is a council process. He states he couldn't entertain permit concerns but is happy to have chance to comment. Mr. van de Wetering asked if Planning Commission has had a chance to read the tribal letter he has submitted and if there are any questions.

Commissioner Pelletier asks Mr. van de Wetering what his recollection of the history of the hill slope failure is.

Mr. van de Wetering addresses the Tribe's main concerns and the potential for a larger more catastrophic slope failure, hill slope failure. Non-point source pollutions, such as daily high levels of heavy traffic on rocky roads influences the ability of individual tribal members to carry out cultural activities i.e. fishing. He states that he is a Fisheries Biologist, not a geologist and not a specialist. He has worked in the basin and several other basins for 25 years, as a scientific observer of the area, forest practices, and road building. He discusses factors that can affect failures such as natural geology and timber management practices. The Tribe harvests timber in the Siletz basin and has several thousand acres of timber lands. The Tribe does harvest timber clear-cut and carry out a lot of the management activities that are associated with events like hill slope failures, road failures. In regards to the question of understanding the history of hill slope failure in that particular area, Mr. van de Wetering hands out maps to the Planning Commissioners.

Mr. van de Wetering refers to maps and points out they are pictures of the lower and upper basin. This is looking at one point in time around 2012; this example relates to our letter of concern. This represents his interpretation of a recent land slide that's occurred last year. He discusses the maps explaining key points of reference to the quarry site and historical fishing grounds. He discusses page 2 of maps that shows a larger failure that occurred in approximately 2010, a deeper seated slide moving toward areas immediately adjacent to the stream. Referring to an area on the maps, he explains this is on the road into the site, not an uncommon example of several basins in the Siletz areas. There is steep land covered in various sorts of basalt with harder non-erodible lithology, and on top of that are softer soils. It's not uncommon to see slides when there are forest activities such as logging and road activities and when there are no activities. Mr. van de Wetering points to another historical slide and another area of sloughing, showing proximity of the road in the area of the historical fishing grounds.

The next image is page 3 where the small yellow point describes another location where a slide occurred. Page 4 shows the proposed quarry site in a bowl pattern. This bowl is described in the permit application, and the draining pattern is described. This is a slide that occurred in the immediate area and it is characteristic of a larger hill slope failure. On the map page 5 shows the view up Euchre Creek with a natural slide that has occurred in immediate area. On the opposite hillside to the east, there are failures where soil has slumped off these lithology.

A discussion ensued regarding where the platform of the historical fishing grounds is in relation to the sloughing. Mr. van de Wetering goes over with the Commissioners and staff where the platform approximately is on map 6.

Mr. van de Wetering apologized the letter was late and that he would have liked to have turned that in sooner. He has concerns about the road traffic, road stability, catastrophic failure, and the potential effect on the tribe's historical fishery through non-point source pollution. The Tribe is not opposed to rock mining in the basin, and the Tribe builds rock roads on their timber land all the time. He states they have a road engineer on staff who determines where and how to construct roads. He states they are regulated by the federal government, so they are held to higher standards than companies that work on private lands are. The Tribe supports Road & Driveway through the purchase of their products and has a long history of purchasing rock from Road & Driveway. The Tribe has entered through Cedar Creek quarry over the years to access the tribe's lands upstream. About four or five years ago the Tribe obtained some lands in Cedar Creek, about 4000 acres. The Tribe has completed restoration projects on those lands. The Tribe accesses those lands for surveying fish and wildlife. The Tribe has been really happy with their willingness to let us access through their lands and to let us work with them. The Tribe has full time geologists on staff. He states he believes the Tribe has no record of concern, specific to past operations at the Cedar Creek site. The Tribe has been monitoring suspended sediments in Cedar Creek, Euchre Creek and many of the creeks in the basins over the years. The Tribe also monitors suspended sediment and stream temperature as responses to logging riparian areas at different widths on forest land due to levels of traffic and road placement as part of best management practices.

The Tribe has not found any evidence that Cedar Creek Quarry has resulted in unusually high loads of suspended sediments that wouldn't be considered natural levels.

Mr. van de Wetering speaks on behalf of the tribal council and states that the tribal council would like to discuss Road and Driveways needs with Road and Driveway, ODFW and Lincoln County at minimum. The Tribe has not had an opportunity to do that. As tribal staff, he suspects some of the concerns could be addressed with some discussion between the parties. One issue is the road maintenance plan. Another is levels of responsibility and whether the current road engineering is adequate. He states he thinks a discussion of those issues would help tribal concerns and would be able to direct us all to an approved potential management plan.

Commissioner Estes asked Mr. van de Wetering whether he disputed anything Mr. Carstens said.

Mr. van de Wetering discusses that the timber harvest community, of which the Tribe is part of, has not been able to immediately stop production when sediment from road traffic and run-off enters nearby waterbodies. There are many examples of this in the Siletz Basin, and the Tribe is constantly working towards improvement. It is a challenge. The Tribe emphasizes that the proposed quarry will potentially lead to high levels of road traffic year round, which has been the Tribe's experience in other basins. Regarding the buffer of trees at Euchre Creek, Mr. van de Wetering's understanding is that the Euchre Creek buffer is based on state forestry standard.

Mr. van de Wetering discusses topography of the landscape and provides the distance to the fishing ladder adjacent to the quarry site. Mr. van de Wetering discusses increases in buffer and the number of culverts as well as way the road is engineered whether it slopes to the outer bank opposed to the inner bank to diminish non-point source pollution. Whether improvements need to be made to the road for high traffic levels, that information doesn't seem to be available at this time.

Commissioner Pelletier states forests practices and services are going to require increased buffers around streams in the future.

Mr. van de Wetering remarks that he has also heard those rumors, but is not sure what the outcome will be. Mr. van de Wetering discusses the pull outs on the road and lane capacity. He comments on the ten foot steep slope, incline walkway that goes to the edge of the creek. It is about twenty five to fifty feet from ladder down to the edge of the creek. He states that he is clarifying and rebutting the suggestion that there is a large distance and a lot of riparian area for buffer.

Planning Director Husing asks Mr. van de Wetering what would it take to reconcile or what kind of process or discussion would be needed to help make a determination for the Tribe's perspectives on the potential for disruption of the cultural use of the property.

Mr. van de Wetering has immediate concerns about individual tribal members' ability to carry out their tribal activities as it relates to the fishery during the two week fishing periods and the sixty day window in the Fall during operations of blasting and crushing.

A discussion ensued regarding the road conditions, engineering of the road, traffic and crushing timeframes.

Mr. van de Wetering also commented that if there could be a meeting between the Tribe council and the quarry, he feels that could alleviate some if not all of their concerns. He states that there appears to be a gap of responsibility for the road.

Planning Director Husing discusses the time frame for a land use decision regarding an aggregate production site under Oregon law and whether a consultation process with the Tribe will work with the time frame.

Vice Chair Bobbitt states it is not up to this Commission to initiate a consultation.

A discussion ensued regarding whether or not there could be a delay until after both parties met. Vice Chair Bobbitt stated that we may be getting into deliberations here before we have heard all the testimony. She asked Mr. van de Wetering if he had any further to add.

Mr. van de Wetering states that the Tribe can be a source of marbled murrelet information to the Commission since the Tribe has been working on habitat restoration for the marbled murrelets on a Tribe-owned property.

A discussion ensued about road failures on private property.

APPLICANT AGENT: Rebuttal
Kurt Carstens

Mr. Carstens stated that Planning Director Husing asked what it would take to reconcile for these two positions. He questioned the relationship between these historic landslides and the Tribe's concern for being able to practice and exercise its cultural rights. He concludes that there is no correlation.

Commissioner Pelletier questioned about the general road layout. Mr. Carstens describes the physical features of the road. The Tribe has been provided a road access, and that's not going to get taken away from them. Mr. Carstens doesn't think his client should get into protracted consultations with the Tribe.

Mr. Carstens states there have been no complaints about this road. Plum Creek has been maintaining the road but has no plans to pave the road. He states the Tribe uses the road and hasn't informed anyone that the road causes problems to their fishing activities.

Mr. Carstens states the buffer must be at least 500 feet and that there is plenty of room to pull off the road for parking. It is not Plum Creek or even Cedar Creek's job to improve the pull out. There are also side roads next to the tribal historical sign for pull off; there is no impact upon main flow of travel whatsoever. There is no parking issue.

Mr. Carstens states that sediment input to the stream can be trapped by vegetation buffer. He states that he wrote down this quote "Individual tribal members can carry out their cultural activities and tribal fishing." He has nothing tonight that negates that. Fish don't have ears. The quarry's operational impacts on the fishing site is a non-issue.

Mr. Carstens states that Cedar Creek Quarries has to maintain the road as the tenant and reiterates that there has been no complaint about the road. He argues that the Tribe does not have enough evidence to be concerned about the road.

Mr. Carstens states that the Commission can't abdicate the decision making process by making Cedar Creek Quarries consult with the Tribe. He states that there is no demonstrated problem at this point and time.

DOGAMI is the responsible agency for rock quarries, EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), DEQ (Department of Environmental Quality), MSHA (Mine Safety and Health Administration), ODFW (Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife), OSP (Oregon State Police), ODOT (Oregon Department of Transportation) & OSF (Oregon State Forestry), all of these agencies work with DOGAMI to regulate these situations.

Mr. Carstens reiterates that Cedar Creek quarry has been in operations for decades with one problem of dust on the road in 1980 and that problem was solved. Mr. Carstens that there is nothing wrong with the conditional use application and asks the Commission to make a decision without any concern.

Vice Chair Bobbitt closes the hearing.

A discussion ensued regarding timelines.

Mr. Carstens asked that the application be extended by 14 days.

Commissioner McMahon motioned to grant the request for an extension and reconvene the Planning Commissioners in two weeks for deliberations.

Commissioner Porch seconded the motion. The vote was taken and all the Commissioners were all in favor. The motion is passed.

ADJOURNMENT

Vice Chair Bobbitt adjourned the meeting at 10:55pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kristine M. Castillo
Lincoln County Permit Specialist