


Lincoln County TSP

OR 18 Bear Creek Road - Right Turn Lane Warrant Analysis
Figure 7-3 Right Turn Lane Criterion



Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

Criterion 2: Crash Experience

The crash experience criterion is satisfied when:

Warrant is met under existing and future conditions.

1. Adequate trial of other remedies with satisfactory observance and enforcement has failed to reduce the accident frequency; **and**
2. A history of crashes of the type susceptible to correction by a right turn lane; **and**
3. The safety benefits outweigh the associated improvements costs; **and**
4. The installation of the right turn lane minimizes impacts to the safety of vehicles, bicycles or pedestrians along the roadway.

2005 (existing): approaching DHV in Outside Lane = 560 veh/hr
 right turn volume = 25 veh/hr


2027 (future): approaching DHV in Outside Lane = 795 veh/hr
 right turn volume = 40 veh/hr

Analyst: Andra Henriques/PDX, 6/8/07

Lincoln County TSP

Figure 7-3 Right Turn Lane Criterion

OR 18 & North Bank Road - Right Turn Lane Warrant Analysis



Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided. If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

Warrant is met under existing & future conditions.

Criterion 2: Crash Experience

The crash experience criterion is satisfied when:

1. Adequate trial of other remedies with satisfactory observance and enforcement has failed to reduce the accident frequency; **and**
2. A history of crashes of the type susceptible to correction by a right turn lane; **and**
3. The safety benefits outweigh the associated improvements costs; **and**
4. The installation of the right turn lane minimizes impacts to the safety of vehicles, bicycles or pedestrians along the roadway.

2005 (existing): approaching DHV in outside lane = 795 veh/hr
right turn volume = 25 veh/hr

2027 (future): approaching DHV in outside lane = 1130 veh/hr
right turn volume = 40 veh/hr

Analyst: Andra Henriques/PDX, 6/8/07

Oregon Department of Transportation
Transportation Development Branch
Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis¹

Major Street:	US 101	Minor Street:	Glenden Beach Road
Project:	Lincoln County TSP	City/County:	Salishan/Lincoln
Year:	2005	Alternative:	Existing

Preliminary Signal Warrant Volumes

Number of Approach lanes		ADT on major street approaching from both directions		ADT on minor street, highest approaching volume	
Major Street	Minor Street	Percent of standard warrants		Percent of standard warrants	
		100	70	100	70

Case A: Minimum Vehicular Traffic

1	1	8,850	6,200	2,650	1,850
2 or more	1	10,600	7,400	2,650	1,850
2 or more	2 or more	10,600	7,400	3,550	2,500
1	2 or more	8,850	6,200	3,550	2,500

Case B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic

1	1	13,300	9,300	1,350	950
2 or more	1	15,900	11,100	1,350	950
2 or more	2 or more	15,900	11,100	1,750	1,250
1	2 or more	13,300	9,300	1,750	1,250

5.65% of the above ADT volumes is equal to the MUTCD vehicles per hour (vph)

	100 percent of standard warrants
X	70 percent of standard warrants ²

Preliminary Signal Warrant Calculation

	Street	Number of Lanes	Warrant Volumes	Approach Volumes	Warrant Met
Case A	Major	1	6,200	24,667	N
	Minor	1	1,850	602	
Case B	Major	1	9,300	24,667	N
	Minor	1	950	602	

Analyst and Date: _____ Reviewer and Date: _____

¹ Meeting preliminary signal warrants does **not** guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a traffic signal investigations must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

² Used due to 85th percentile speed in excess of 40 mph or isolated community with population of less than 10,000.00

Oregon Department of Transportation

Transportation Development Branch

Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis¹

Major Street:	US 101	Minor Street:	Glenden Beach Road
Project:	Lincoln County TSP	City/County:	Salishan/Lincoln
Year:	2027	Alternative:	No-Build

Preliminary Signal Warrant Volumes

Number of Approach lanes		ADT on major street approaching from both directions		ADT on minor street, highest approaching volume	
Major Street	Minor Street	Percent of standard warrants		Percent of standard warrants	
		100	70	100	70

Case A: Minimum Vehicular Traffic

Major Street	Minor Street	100	70	100	70
1	1	8,850	6,200	2,650	1,850
2 or more	1	10,600	7,400	2,650	1,850
2 or more	2 or more	10,600	7,400	3,550	2,500
1	2 or more	8,850	6,200	3,550	2,500

Case B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic

1	1	13,300	9,300	1,350	950
2 or more	1	15,900	11,100	1,350	950
2 or more	2 or more	15,900	11,100	1,750	1,250
1	2 or more	13,300	9,300	1,750	1,250

5.65% of the above ADT volumes is equal to the MUTCD vehicles per hour (vph)

	100 percent of standard warrants
X	70 percent of standard warrants ²

Preliminary Signal Warrant Calculation

	Street	Number of Lanes	Warrant Volumes	Approach Volumes	Warrant Met
Case A	Major	1	6,200	25,333	N
	Minor	1	1,850	1,056	
Case B	Major	1	9,300	25,333	Y
	Minor	1	950	1,056	

Analyst and Date:	Reviewer and Date:
-------------------	--------------------

¹ Meeting preliminary signal warrants does **not** guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a traffic signal investigations must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

² Used due to 85th percentile speed in excess of 40 mph or isolated community with population of less than 10,000.00

Oregon Department of Transportation

Transportation Development Branch

Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis¹

Major Street:	US 20	Minor Street:	Business 20 (west)
Project:	Lincoln County TSP	City/County:	Toledo/Lincoln
Year:	2005	Alternative:	Existing

Preliminary Signal Warrant Volumes

Number of Approach lanes		ADT on major street approaching from both directions		ADT on minor street, highest approaching volume	
Major Street	Minor Street	Percent of standard warrants		Percent of standard warrants	
		100	70	100	70

Case A: Minimum Vehicular Traffic

1	1	8,850	6,200	2,650	1,850
2 or more	1	10,600	7,400	2,650	1,850
2 or more	2 or more	10,600	7,400	3,550	2,500
1	2 or more	8,850	6,200	3,550	2,500

Case B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic

1	1	13,300	9,300	1,350	950
2 or more	1	15,900	11,100	1,350	950
2 or more	2 or more	15,900	11,100	1,750	1,250
1	2 or more	13,300	9,300	1,750	1,250

5.65% of the above ADT volumes is equal to the MUTCD vehicles per hour (vph)

	100 percent of standard warrants
X	70 percent of standard warrants ²

Preliminary Signal Warrant Calculation

	Street	Number of Lanes	Warrant Volumes	Approach Volumes	Warrant Met
Case A	Major	1	6,200	7,407	N
	Minor	1	1,850	1,148	
Case B	Major	1	9,300	7,407	N
	Minor	1	950	1,148	

Analyst and Date:	Reviewer and Date:
-------------------	--------------------

¹ Meeting preliminary signal warrants does **not** guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a traffic signal investigations must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

² Used due to 85th percentile speed in excess of 40 mph or isolated community with population of less than 10,000.00

Oregon Department of Transportation

Transportation Development Branch

Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis¹

Major Street:	US 20	Minor Street:	Business 20 (west)
Project:	Lincoln County TSP	City/County:	Toledo/Lincoln
Year:	2027	Alternative:	No-Build

Preliminary Signal Warrant Volumes

Number of Approach lanes		ADT on major street approaching from both directions		ADT on minor street, highest approaching volume	
Major Street	Minor Street	Percent of standard warrants		Percent of standard warrants	
		100	70	100	70

Case A: Minimum Vehicular Traffic

1	1	8,850	6,200	2,650	1,850
2 or more	1	10,600	7,400	2,650	1,850
2 or more	2 or more	10,600	7,400	3,550	2,500
1	2 or more	8,850	6,200	3,550	2,500

Case B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic

1	1	13,300	9,300	1,350	950
2 or more	1	15,900	11,100	1,350	950
2 or more	2 or more	15,900	11,100	1,750	1,250
1	2 or more	13,300	9,300	1,750	1,250

5.65% of the above ADT volumes is equal to the MUTCD vehicles per hour (vph)

	100 percent of standard warrants
X	70 percent of standard warrants ²

Preliminary Signal Warrant Calculation

	Street	Number of Lanes	Warrant Volumes	Approach Volumes	Warrant Met
Case A	Major	1	6,200	11,119	N
	Minor	1	1,850	1,726	
Case B	Major	1	9,300	11,119	Y
	Minor	1	950	1,726	

Analyst and Date:	Reviewer and Date:
-------------------	--------------------

¹ Meeting preliminary signal warrants does **not** guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a traffic signal investigations must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

² Used due to 85th percentile speed in excess of 40 mph or isolated community with population of less than 10,000.00

Oregon Department of Transportation

Transportation Development Branch

Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis¹

Major Street:	US 20	Minor Street:	OR 229
Project:	Lincoln County TSP	City/County:	Toledo/Lincoln
Year:	2005	Alternative:	Existing

Preliminary Signal Warrant Volumes

Number of Approach lanes		ADT on major street approaching from both directions		ADT on minor street, highest approaching volume	
Major Street	Minor Street	Percent of standard warrants 100 70		Percent of standard warrants 100 70	

Case A: Minimum Vehicular Traffic

1	1	8,850	6,200	2,650	1,850
2 or more	1	10,600	7,400	2,650	1,850
2 or more	2 or more	10,600	7,400	3,550	2,500
1	2 or more	8,850	6,200	3,550	2,500

Case B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic

1	1	13,300	9,300	1,350	950
2 or more	1	15,900	11,100	1,350	950
2 or more	2 or more	15,900	11,100	1,750	1,250
1	2 or more	13,300	9,300	1,750	1,250

5.65% of the above ADT volumes is equal to the MUTCD vehicles per hour (vph)

	100 percent of standard warrants
X	70 percent of standard warrants ²

Preliminary Signal Warrant Calculation

	Street	Number of Lanes	Warrant Volumes	Approach Volumes	Warrant Met
Case A	Major	1	6,200	5,519	N
	Minor	1	1,850	852	
Case B	Major	1	9,300	5,519	N
	Minor	1	950	852	

Analyst and Date:	Reviewer and Date:
-------------------	--------------------

¹ Meeting preliminary signal warrants does **not** guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a traffic signal investigations must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

² Used due to 85th percentile speed in excess of 40 mph or isolated community with population of less than 10,000.00

Oregon Department of Transportation

Transportation Development Branch

Transportation Planning Analysis Unit

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis¹

Major Street:	US 20	Minor Street:	OR 229
Project:	Lincoln County TSP	City/County:	Toledo/Lincoln
Year:	2027	Alternative:	No-Build

Preliminary Signal Warrant Volumes

Number of Approach lanes		ADT on major street approaching from both directions		ADT on minor street, highest approaching volume	
Major Street	Minor Street	Percent of standard warrants		Percent of standard warrants	
		100	70	100	70

Case A: Minimum Vehicular Traffic

Major Street	Minor Street	100	70	100	70
1	1	8,850	6,200	2,650	1,850
2 or more	1	10,600	7,400	2,650	1,850
2 or more	2 or more	10,600	7,400	3,550	2,500
1	2 or more	8,850	6,200	3,550	2,500

Case B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic

1	1	13,300	9,300	1,350	950
2 or more	1	15,900	11,100	1,350	950
2 or more	2 or more	15,900	11,100	1,750	1,250
1	2 or more	13,300	9,300	1,750	1,250

5.65% of the above ADT volumes is equal to the MUTCD vehicles per hour (vph)

	100 percent of standard warrants
X	70 percent of standard warrants ²

Preliminary Signal Warrant Calculation

	Street	Number of Lanes	Warrant Volumes	Approach Volumes	Warrant Met
Case A	Major	1	6,200	8,296	Y
	Minor	1	1,850	2,015	
Case B	Major	1	9,300	8,296	N
	Minor	1	950	2,015	

Analyst and Date:	Reviewer and Date:
-------------------	--------------------

¹ Meeting preliminary signal warrants does **not** guarantee that a signal will be installed. Before a signal can be installed a traffic signal investigations must be conducted or reviewed by the Region Traffic Manager. Traffic signal warrants must be met and the State Traffic Engineer's approval obtained before a traffic signal can be installed on a state highway.

² Used due to 85th percentile speed in excess of 40 mph or isolated community with population of less than 10,000.00