

Sample Ballot - Lincoln County, Oregon | November 4, 2014

Official Ballot - Lincoln County, OR - November 4, 2014

A	B	C	D	E
<p>Instructions To Voter Use A Pencil or Pen (Blue or Black Ink)</p> <p>To ensure your vote counts, completely fill in the oval <input type="radio"/> to the left of the response of your choice.</p> <p>To write in a name, write the name on the solid line and fill in the oval <input type="radio"/> to the left of the write-in line.</p> <p>Attention! Remember to inspect your ballot for mistakes! If you make a mistake or damage your ballot, call your County Elections Office to ask for a replacement ballot.</p>	<p>State</p> <p>State Representative, 9th District Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Caddy McKeown Democrat</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Guy S Rosinbaum Libertarian</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Casey Runyan Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>City of Depoe Bay</p> <p>Mayor Two Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> A J (Jim) Mattila</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>City of Newport</p> <p>Mayor Two Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Sandra N Roumagoux</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dean Sawyer</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>City of Toledo</p> <p>Mayor Two Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Ralph E Grutzmacher</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Billie Jo Smith</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>
<p>National</p> <p>US Senator Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Mike Montchain Libertarian</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jeff Merkley Democrat, Independent, Working Families</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Christina Jean Lugo Pacific Green</p> <p><input type="radio"/> James E Leuenberger Constitution</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Monica Wehby Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>State Representative, 10th District Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> David Gomberg Democrat, Independent, Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Council Member, Position 4 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jerome Grant</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Council Members Four Year Terms Vote For Three</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dennis Reno</p> <p><input type="radio"/> David N Allen</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dick Beemer</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Mark Saelens</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Wendy C Engler</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Council Members Four Year Terms Vote For Three</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Julie Rockwell</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Michele Johnson</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Terri L Strom</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jerry Seth</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>
<p>Nonpartisan State</p> <p>District Attorney, Lincoln County Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Michelle Branam</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Nonpartisan County</p> <p>Lincoln County Commissioner, Position 3 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Terry N Thompson</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dick Anderson</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Council Member, Position 5 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Steve Sparks</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>City of Siletz</p> <p>Mayor Two Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> John S Robinson</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Ron Hervey</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Gail F Johnson</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>City of Waldport</p> <p>Mayor Two Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Susan Woodruff</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>
<p>US Representative, 5th District Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Raymond Baldwin Constitution</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Daniel K Souza Libertarian</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Kurt Schrader Democrat</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Tootie Smith Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Marvin Sannes Independent</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Lincoln County Clerk Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dana W Jenkins</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>City of Lincoln City</p> <p>Mayor Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Roger Sprague</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Don Williams</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Council Member, Position 1 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jeff Clark</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Council Members Four Year Terms Vote For Three</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jack Christenson</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dann Cutter</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Greg Holland</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>
<p>State</p> <p>Governor Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dennis Richardson Republican, Independent</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Chris Henry Progressive</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Aaron Auer Constitution</p> <p><input type="radio"/> John Kitzhaber Democrat, Working Families</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Paul Grad Libertarian</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jason Levin Pacific Green</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>		<p>Council Member, Ward I Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Nathan Long</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Susan Wahlke</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>Council Member, Position 4 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dave Button</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in</p>	<p>WARNING Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting is subject to a fine. (ORS 254.470)</p>

Sample Ballot - Lincoln County, Oregon | November 4, 2014

Official Ballot - Lincoln County, OR - November 4, 2014

A	B	C	A	B
<p>City of Yachats</p>	<p>State Measures</p>	<p>State Measures</p>		
<p>Mayor Two Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Ronald L. Breaun</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p>	<p>See Full Text of Measures on Separate Sheet</p> <p>Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly</p> <p>86 Amends Constitution: Requires creation of fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, authorizes state indebtedness to finance fund</p> <p>Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote amends constitution and requires legislature to establish fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, career training; authorizes state to incur debt to finance fund.</p> <p>Result of "no" vote: "No" vote rejects authorization for state to extend credit and incur debt to create dedicated fund for Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education and career training.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	<p>Proposed by Initiative Petition</p> <p>90 Changes general election nomination processes: provides for single primary ballot listing candidates; top two advance</p> <p>Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote replaces general election nomination processes for most partisan offices; all candidates listed on one single primary ballot; two advance to general election ballot.</p> <p>Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains current general election nomination processes, including party primaries for major parties; separate primary ballots; multiple candidates can appear on general election ballot.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>		
<p>Council Members Four Year Terms Vote For Two</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Greg Scott</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Barbara E. Frye</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p>	<p>Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly</p> <p>87 Amends Constitution: Permits employment of state judges by National Guard (military service) and state public universities (teaching)</p> <p>Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote amends constitution to permit state judges to be employed by Oregon National Guard for military service purposes, state public universities for teaching purposes.</p> <p>Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing constitutional restrictions on employment of Oregon state court judges by the Oregon National Guard and by the state public university system.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	<p>Proposed by Initiative Petition</p> <p>91 Allows possession, manufacture, sale of marijuana by/to adults, subject to state licensing, regulation, taxation</p> <p>Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote allows possession, authorizes in-state manufacture, processing, sale of marijuana by/to adults; licensing, regulation, taxation by state; retains current medical marijuana laws.</p> <p>Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains laws classifying cannabis as a controlled substance; prohibiting most sale, possession, manufacture of cannabis; permitting production, possession of cannabis for medical use.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>		
<p>Lincoln County Soil and Water Conservation District</p> <p>Director, Zone 1 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p>No Candidate Filed</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p>	<p>Referendum Order by Petition of the People</p> <p>88 Provides Oregon resident "driver card" without requiring proof of legal presence in the United States</p> <p>Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote directs Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to Oregon resident meeting specified eligibility, without requiring proof of legal presence in United States.</p> <p>Result of "no" vote: "No" vote rejects law directing Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to eligible Oregon resident without requiring proof of legal presence in United States.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	<p>Proposed by Initiative Petition</p> <p>92 Requires food manufacturers, retailers to label "genetically engineered" foods as such; state, citizens may enforce</p> <p>Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote requires the labeling of raw and packaged foods produced entirely or partially by "genetic engineering," effective January 2016; applies to retailers, suppliers, manufacturers.</p> <p>Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing law, which does not require "genetically engineered" food to be labeled as such.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>		
<p>Director, Zone 2 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p>No Candidate Filed</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p> <p>Director, At Large (2) Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Mark Saelens</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p>	<p>Proposed by Initiative Petition</p> <p>89 Amends Constitution: State/political subdivision shall not deny or abridge equality of rights on account of sex</p> <p>Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote amends state constitution, prohibits state and any political subdivision from denying or abridging equality of rights under the law on account of sex.</p> <p>Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains current prohibition on laws granting/denying privileges or immunities on account of sex, unless justified by specific biological differences between men/women.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>	<p>Lincoln County Library District</p> <p>21-156 Local Option Tax For Library Services</p> <p>Question: Shall Library District renew levy of 9¢ per \$1,000 assessed value for five years, beginning 2015-2016, to fund your library?</p> <p>This measure renews current local option taxes.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>		
<p>Central Lincoln People's Utility District</p> <p>Director - Subdivision 1 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Ron Benfield</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p> <p>Director - Subdivision 2 Four Year Term Vote For One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Larkin Kaliher</p> <p><input type="radio"/> _____ Write-in</p>				
A	B	C	A	B

Sample Ballot-Lincoln County, Oregon | November 4, 2014

STATE MEASURES

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

86 Amends Constitution: Requires creation of fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, authorizes state indebtedness to finance fund

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote amends constitution and requires legislature to establish fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, career training; authorizes state to incur debt to finance fund.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote rejects authorization for state to extend credit and incur debt to create dedicated fund for Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education and career training.

Summary: Amends Constitution. Oregon constitution generally prohibits the state from extending credit or incurring debt. Measure requires the legislature to create dedicated fund for exclusive benefit of Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education, including technical, professional and career training. Measure authorizes state to lend credit and incur debt to finance fund. Indebtedness incurred may not exceed one percent of real market value of all property in state. Moneys in fund not subject to constitutional limitations on investment. Generated earnings must be retained by fund, unless used to provide financial assistance to Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education. If governor declares an emergency, legislature may pass a bill to use the fund's money for any lawful purpose, provided the legislature also has approved a plan to repay the fund.

Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

87 Amends Constitution: Permits employment of state judges by National Guard (military service) and state public universities (teaching)

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote amends constitution to permit state

judges to be employed by Oregon National Guard for military service purposes, state public universities for teaching purposes.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing constitutional restrictions on employment of Oregon state court judges by the Oregon National Guard and by the state public university system.

Summary: Article III, section 1, of Oregon Constitution ("separation of powers" clause) prohibits person from serving in more than one branch of government at the same time; Oregon Supreme Court has ruled that provision prohibits state court judges from teaching at institutions of public education. Article II, section 10, prohibits state court judges from being compensated for military service in National Guard. Measure amends constitution to authorize any public university as defined by law to employ state court judges for purpose of teaching at Oregon public universities. Measure also authorizes employment of state court judges by Oregon National Guard for purpose of military service. Measure provides that such educational or military employment shall not preclude person from serving as state judge at same time. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Referendum Order by Petition of the People

88 Provides Oregon resident "driver card" without requiring proof of legal presence in the United States

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote directs Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to Oregon resident meeting specified eligibility, without requiring proof of legal presence in United States.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote rejects law directing Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to eligible Oregon resident without requiring proof of legal presence in United States.

Summary: Current law requires any applicant for an Oregon driver license or permit to provide proof of legal presence in the United States. Measure

directs the Department of Transportation to issue a "driver card" to an applicant who does not provide proof of legal presence in the United States, but who has otherwise complied with all Oregon requirements for the type of driving privileges sought, has provided proof of residence in Oregon for more than one year, and has provided proof of identity and date of birth. The driver card may not be used as identification for air travel, to enter a federal building, to register to vote or to obtain any government benefit requiring proof of citizenship or lawful presence in United States. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure will require the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) to issue an Oregon Driver Card to an applicant without requiring the applicant to provide proof of legal presence in the United States, if that individual complies with all the requirements for the driving privileges to be sought; provides proof of identity and date of birth; (3) provides proof of residing in Oregon in excess of one year as of the date of the application; (4) provides a Social Security number (SSN) assigned to that individual by the United States Social Security Administration (SSA) or provides a written statement that the individual has not been assigned a SSN; and (5) pays any fees associated with the type of driver card being sought. The cost to provide these cards is estimated at \$2,794,802 in the 2013-15 biennium and \$2,677,144 in the 2015-17 biennium, but revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs to ODOT. The revenue in excess of the costs will be deposited within the State Highway Fund.

The referendum establishes the following fees: (1) \$64 for issuance of a Class C driver card; (2) \$5 for the knowledge test for a Class C driver card; (3) \$9 for the skills test for a Class C driver card; (4) \$64 for issuance of a restricted Class C driver card; (5) \$44 for renewal of a Class C driver card; (6) \$30 for replacement of a Class C driver card; (7) \$6 for the Student Driver Training Fund; (8) \$75 for reinstatement of revoked driving privilege; (9) \$75 for reinstatement of suspended driving privileges; and (10) fee for reinstatement

of the right to apply for driving privileges after a delay under ORS 809.280 (10) (1997 Edition), which is the same as the fee for reinstatement of suspended driving privileges.

The referendum provides that the fees charged for an Oregon Driver Card would be used for administrative purposes and distributed to the Highway Fund in the same manner as fees charged for an Oregon Driver License. It is anticipated that this measure will generate \$3,510,437 of revenue in 2013-15 and \$4,333,562 in 2015-17.

There are no anticipated effects on local government.

Proposed by Initiative Petition

89 Amends Constitution: State/political subdivision shall not deny or abridge equality of rights on account of sex

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote amends state constitution, prohibits state and any political subdivision from denying or abridging equality of rights under the law on account of sex.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains current prohibition on laws granting/denying privileges or immunities on account of sex, unless justified by specific biological differences between men/women.

Summary: Under Article I, section 20, of the Oregon Constitution, laws granting privileges or immunities must apply equally to all persons. The Oregon Supreme Court has held that that provision prohibits laws treating people differently based on sex unless justified by specific biological differences. No current provision in constitution expressly states that prohibition. Measure amends Article I by creating new section 46, which provides that equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by the state or any political subdivision on account of sex. Measure authorizes legislature to enforce that provision by appropriate legislation. Measure provides that nothing in section 46 "shall diminish a right otherwise available to persons under section 20 of this Article or any other provision of this Constitution."

Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Proposed by Initiative Petition

90 Changes general election nomination processes; provides for single primary ballot listing candidates; top two advance

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote replaces general election nomination processes for most partisan offices; all candidates listed on one single primary ballot; two advance to general election ballot.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains current general election nomination processes, including party primaries for major parties; separate primary ballots; multiple candidates can appear on general election ballot.

Summary: Currently, each major party has a separate primary election ballot. Major party's registered voters nominate party's candidates; others' primary ballots include only nonpartisan candidates; all vote for one candidate per office. General election ballot may include multiple candidates per office: unaffiliated, major, minor party candidates. Measure replaces that system for most partisan offices, including many federal (not Presidential), all state, county, city, district offices. Single primary ballot lists all candidates for each office. Voters may vote for any candidate, regardless of voter's or candidate's party affiliation. Only top two candidates per office appear on general election ballot; may be from same party. Primary, general election ballots must contain candidates' party registration/endorsements. Eligible person, regardless of party, may be selected to fill vacancy. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure changes statutes relating to primary elections. Except for the office of President, it requires that the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes advance to the general election regardless of party affiliation. The measure provides criteria for listing candidates on ballots. It establishes procedures for filling vacant Congressional offices through special elections and allows appointment to vacant state offices regardless of party affiliation. The initiative contains statutory criteria for establishing minority parties and retaining their status. It requires the Legislature to pass implementing statutes.

Sample Ballot—Lincoln County, Oregon | November 4, 2014

The Secretary of State Elections Division estimates start-up costs of \$362,640 to modify computer systems. The most likely funding source would be revenues from the General Fund.

Because of the estimated mix of costs and savings, the financial impact to counties is indeterminate.

Proposed by Initiative Petition

91 Allows possession, manufacture, sale of marijuana by/to adults, subject to state licensing, regulation, taxation

Result of “yes” vote:

“Yes” vote allows possession, authorizes in-state manufacture, processing, sale of marijuana by/to adults; licensing, regulation, taxation by state; retains current medical marijuana laws.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains laws classifying cannabis as a controlled substance; prohibiting most sale, possession, manufacture of cannabis; permitting production, possession of cannabis for medical use.

Summary: Currently, cultivation, possession, delivery, sale of marijuana are unlawful, excepting regulated production, possession, use of medical marijuana. Measure allows production, processing, delivery, possession, sale of marijuana to adults, licensed, regulated by Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC). Marijuana producer, processor, wholesaler may deliver “marijuana items” (defined) only to/on licensed retail premises. OLCC collects tax imposed on marijuana producer at different rates for marijuana flowers, leaves, immature plant. “Homegrown marijuana” (defined) not regulated, taxed. Tax revenues, fees fund OLCC suspense account, Oregon Marijuana Account distributed: 40% to Common School Fund; 20% for mental health/alcohol/drug services; 15% for state police; 20% for local law enforcement; 5% to Oregon Health Authority. “Marijuana paraphernalia” (defined) excluded from “drug paraphernalia” laws. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact:

This measure legalizes, regulates and taxes the manufacture, sale and use of marijuana in Oregon. State and local expenditures and revenues

will be impacted by passage of this measure.

The measure requires the Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC) to license and regulate the distribution of marijuana. The revenue estimate from taxes when fully implemented may range from \$17 million to \$40 million annually.

The OLCC estimates that the start-up costs are about \$300,000 in state fiscal year 2015, about \$2.5 million in state fiscal year 2016, and \$1.0 million in 2017. OLCC annual operating expenses are estimated to be \$3.2 million per year. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The remaining revenue beyond expenses would be distributed as follows: 40% to the Common School Fund, 20% to the Mental Health Alcoholism and Drug Services Account, 15% to the State Police Account, 10% to cities for law enforcement, 10% to counties for law enforcement, and 5% to the Oregon Health Authority for alcohol and drug abuse prevention, early intervention and treatment services.

The Oregon Health Authority estimates \$200,000 per year in additional expenditures for two positions to license marijuana facilities that test marijuana products. This estimate assumes 20 such facilities. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The Oregon Department of Agriculture estimates \$100,000 per year in additional expenditures for one position to provide rulemaking related to marijuana-infused food products, engage in outreach to the food industry, and assist members of the food industry to achieve compliance with rules. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

Oregon State Police estimates that passage of the initiative would create a need for three additional Highway Interdiction Team detectives as well as training of all sworn members in Advanced Roadside Impairment Driving Enforcement and training of some members to join the existing pool of Drug Recognition Experts. The associated start-up costs for additional staffing and training are estimated at \$400,000 in state fiscal

year 2016 and ongoing expenses of \$400,000 per year beginning in fiscal year 2016. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The Oregon Judicial Department expects additional court costs to address OLCC rulemaking and licensing authority of between \$21,417 and \$55,902 in the 2015-17 biennium and between \$13,068 and \$47,190 per year in later biennia.

Passage of the initiative may result in the reduction in the number of persons entering the public safety system for marijuana-related violations, thereby reducing state General Fund expenditures on community corrections. Passage of the initiative may result in a reduction in the dollar value of fines collected by state and local governments for convictions of marijuana-related violations. Therefore, the impact for state and local governments, district attorneys, and the courts is indeterminate.

New jobs created will generate an indeterminate amount of income tax revenue.

Proposed by Initiative Petition

92 Requires food manufacturers, retailers to label “genetically engineered” foods as such; state, citizens may enforce

Result of “yes” vote:

“Yes” vote requires the labeling of raw and packaged foods produced entirely or partially by “genetic engineering,” effective January 2016; applies to retailers, suppliers, manufacturers.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains existing law, which does not require “genetically engineered” food to be labeled as such.

Summary: Current law does not require labeling of “genetically engineered” food. Measure requires retailers of genetically-engineered raw food to include “Genetically Engineered” on packages, display bins, or shelves; suppliers must label shipping containers. Requires manufacturers of packaged food produced entirely or partially by genetic engineering to include “Produced with Genetic Engineering” or “Partially Produced with Genetic Engineering” on packages. Defines “genetically engineered” food as food produced

from organisms with genetic material changed through in vitro nucleic acid techniques and certain cell-fusing techniques; exempts traditional plant-breeding techniques like hybridization. Does not apply to animal feed or food served in restaurants. Directs agencies to implement law. Permits state, injured citizen to sue manufacturer, retailer for knowing/intentional violation; attorney fees for prevailing citizen. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact:

The measure requires the State Department of Agriculture and/or the Oregon Health Authority to prescribe, enact, and enforce rules necessary to ensure that food manufacturers and retailers properly label raw and packaged food that is entirely or partially produced with genetic engineering. The measure is expected to result in direct expenditures by State agencies for initial one time start-up costs estimated at between \$550,000 and \$600,000. Costs associated with ongoing enforcement have variable assumptions about the level of administrative oversight. There are potential indirect economic effects that may be offsetting. Therefore, the direct financial impact and indirect economic impact is indeterminate.

There is no anticipated effect on local government.

COUNTY MEASURES

LINCOLN COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT

21-156 Local Option Tax For Library Services

Question: Shall Library District renew levy of 9¢ per \$1,000 assessed value for five years, beginning 2015-2016, to fund your library?

This measure renews current local option taxes.

Summary: This measure will renew the expiring local option tax. Approval of this measure continues providing funding at its present level for the Lincoln County Library District and the funding it provides to libraries in Lincoln City, Newport, Siletz, Toledo and Waldport.

It is estimated that this measure would raise approximately \$326,281 in tax revenues for 2015-2016, \$332,807 in tax revenues for 2016-2017, \$339,463 in tax revenues for 2017-2018, \$346,252 in tax revenues for 2018-2019, and \$353,177 in tax revenues for 2019-2020. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of the estimate.